	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K
1	NYS	Probat	ioners	Emplo	yed	(2014 a	ınd 201	15):			
3	County	*Employable Probationers as of 12/31/14	** Employed Probationers as of 12/31/14	***Percent Employable Probationers Employed as of 12/31/14	°IPRS 12/31/14	°°Percent Employed Using Probationers Supervised per 12/31/14 IPRS	*Employable Probationers as of 12/31/15	** Employed Probationers as of 12/31/15	***Percent Employable Probationers Employed as of 12/31/15	°IPRS 12/31/15	°°Percent Employed Using Probationers Supervised per 12/31/15 IPRS
4	Albany	1,800	1,100	61.1%	2,252	48.8%	1,474	1,157	78%	2,095	55.2%
5	Allegany	199	123	61.8%	352	34.9%	222	158	71.2%	320	49.4%
6	Broome	956	675	70.6%	1,835	36.8%	1,368	770	56.3%	1,801	42.8%
7	Cattaraugus	436	326	74.8%	620	52.6%	564	350	62.1%	627	55.8%
8	Cayuga	517	342	66.2%	442	77.4%	363	351	96.7%	671	52.3%
9	Chautauqua	827	535	64.7%	1,405	38.1%	944	604	64.0%	1,368	44.2%
10	Chemung	509	363	71.3%	728	49.9%	508	358	70.5%	724	49.4%
11	Chenango	244	175	71.7%	337	51.9%	281	205	73.0%	372	55.1%
12	Clinton	575	360	62.6%	692	52.0%	579	353	61.0%	717	49.2%
13	Columbia	262	160	61.1%	355	45.1%	192	156	81.3%	323	48.3%
14	Cortland	316	228	72.2%	495	46.1%	353	270	76.5%	498	54.2%
15	Delaware	190	114	60.0%	215	53.0%	195	120	61.5%	235	51.1%
16	Dutchess	1,200	820	68.3%	1,920	42.7%	1,050	780	74.3%	1,816	43.0%
17	Erie	2,150	1,463	68.0%	3,197	45.8%	2,234	1,426	63.8%	2,956	48.2%
18	Essex	206	143	69.4%	357	40.1%	207	135	65.2%	331	40.8%
19	Franklin	362	229	63.3%	516	44.4%	330	214	64.8%	433	49.4%
20	Fulton	247	178	72.1%	334	53.3%	271	208	76.8%	378	55.0%
21	Genesee	328	264	80.5%	461	57.3%	321	257	80.1%	449	57.2%
22	Greene	295	224	75.9%	431	52.0%	194	184	94.8%	384	47.9%
23	Hamilton	22	19	86.4%	27	70.4%	19	16	84.2%	30	53.3%
24	Herkimer	269	189	70.3%	369	51.2%	257	199	77.4%	378	52.6%

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K
25 26	County	*Employable Probationers as of 12/31/14	** Employed Probationers as of 12/31/14	***Percent Employable Probationers Employed as of 12/31/14	°IPRS 12/31/14	°°Percent Employed Using Probationers Supervised per 12/31/14 IPRS	*Employable Probationers as of 12/31/15	** Employed Probationers as of 12/31/15	***Percent Employable Probationers Employed as of 12/31/15	°IPRS 12/31/15	**Percent Employed Using Probationers Supervised per 12/31/15 IPRS
27	Jefferson	533	341	64.0%	861	39.6%	517	330	63.8%	834	39.6%
28	Lewis	156	104	66.7%	176	59.1%	131	90	68.7%	181	49.7%
29	Livingston	263	195	74.1%	457	42.7%	173	136	78.6%	437	31.1%
30	Madison	197	148	75.1%	325	45.5%	172	125	72.7%	238	52.5%
31	Monroe	3,581	2,673	74.6%	5,285	50.6%	3,611	2,623	72.6%	4,933	53.2%
32	Montgomery	269	193	71.7%	368	52.4%	215	153	71.2%	373	41.0%
33	Nassau	4,592	3,673	80.0%	5,641	65.1%	4,527	3,531	78.0%	5,109	69.1%
34	New York City	20,563	10,460	50.9%	26,561	39.4%	19,260	9,630	50.0%	25,685	37.5%
35	Niagara	839	615	73.3%	1,135	54.2%	783	601	76.8%	1,079	55.7%
36	Oneida	1,089	612	56.2%	1,510	40.5%	1,151	706	61.3%	1,422	49.6%
37	Onondaga	1,841	1,204	65.4%	3,306	36.4%	1,883	1,337	71.0%	3,489	38.3%
38	Ontario	676	508	75.1%	1,107	45.9%	646	508	78.6%	1,132	44.9%
39	Orange	1,600	1,000	62.5%	2,302	43.4%	1,409	1,107	78.6%	2,192	50.5%
40	Orleans	156	111	71.2%	190	58.4%	167	109	65.3%	177	61.6%
41	Oswego	767	467	60.9%	897	52.1%	804	463	57.6%	876	52.9%
42	Otsego	134	105	78.4%	191	55.0%	150	109	72.7%	200	54.5%
43	Putnam	345	269	78.0%	515	52.2%	340	286	84.1%	462	61.9%
44	Rensselaer	669	518	77.4%	1,015	51.0%	594	490	82.5%	897	54.6%
45	Rockland	885	700	79.1%	1,154	60.7%	782	634	81.1%	1,113	57.0%
46	Saratoga	972	816	84.0%	909	89.8%	840	630	75.0%	817	77.1%
47	Schenectady	720	510	70.8%	1,030	49.5%	705	495	70.2%	1,001	49.5%
48	Schoharie	158	99	62.7%	222	44.6%	136	90	66.2%	198	45.5%

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н		J	K
49 50	County	*Employable Probationers as of 12/31/14	** Employed Probationers as of 12/31/14	***Percent Employable Probationers Employed as of 12/31/14	°IPRS 12/31/14	°°Percent Employed Using Probationers Supervised per 12/31/14 IPRS	*Employable Probationers as of 12/31/15	** Employed Probationers as of 12/31/15	***Percent Employable Probationers Employed as of 12/31/15	°IPRS 12/31/15	°°Percent Employed Using Probationers Supervised per 12/31/15 IPRS
51	Schuyler	111	80	72.1%	158	50.6%	90	66	73.3%	139	47.5%
52	Seneca	227	145	63.9%	240	60.4%	240	176	73.3%	246	71.5%
53	St. Lawrence	550	355	64.5%	813	43.7%	498	327	65.7%	717	45.6%
54	Steuben	577	346	60.0%	818	42.3%	553	340	61.5%	829	41.0%
55	Suffolk	8,075	5,612	69.5%	8,814	63.7%	5,615	3,816	68.0%	7,865	48.5%
56	Sullivan	423	278	65.7%	589	47.2%	394	282	71.6%	613	46.0%
57	Tioga	187	125	66.8%	294	42.5%	190	146	76.8%	272	53.7%
58	Tompkins	365	281	77.0%	536	52.4%	380	297	78.2%	520	57.1%
59	Ulster	697	516	74.0%	983	52.5%	731	580	79.3%	996	58.2%
60	Warren	465	300	64.5%	520	57.7%	450	280	62.2%	535	52.3%
61	Washington	367	231	62.9%	533	43.3%	308	199	64.6%	498	40.0%
62	Wayne	786	374	47.6%	693	54.0%	422	316	74.9%	623	50.7%
63	Westchester	3,965	2,679	67.6%	5,626	47.6%	3,611	2,317	64.2%	5,254	44.1%
64	Wyoming	178	130	73.0%	294	44.2%	189	128	67.7%	279	45.9%
65	Yates	142	96	67.6%	195	49.2%	163	119	73.0%	190	62.6%
66											
67	Total:	70,030	44,899	69.0%	93,603	50.4%	64,756	41,843	71.8%	89,327	50.8%
68 69	*B or H =emplo	oyable probation	ers per county's a	nnual plan							
70	**C or I =emplo	oved probationer	s per county's an	nual plan							
71	O OI I — OIII più	by our probation of	por ocurry o arm	ilai pian							
72	***Percent em	oloyed of reporte	d employable:D =	= C/B, J = I/H							
73	OF and Datie	ata Daah atian ana		<u></u>	DDC Ctata	udda Danant					
74 75	or K =Keile	cis Probationers	supervisea, iess	absconders, per	PKS State	wide кероп					
	°°Percent emp	loyed of probatio	ners supervised (per IPRS: F =C/E,	L = I/K						